Reports of Concern from the Paranormal #1

Reports of Concern from the Paranormal #1

Corrie Hunt

Copyright © 2026 by Corrie Hunt
All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any manner whatsoever without written permission except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical articles and reviews.
First Printing, 2026

CONTENTS

Acoustic Induction of Dimensional Rifts 1

Government Experimentation with "Demon Summoning" 7

Maria Orsic 13

An Analysis of Psychic Mediums 20

Ego-Based Behavior in Ghost Hunting Circles 29

Acoustic Induction of Dimensional Rifts

Compiled from fringe resonance theory, cymatic experimentation, and speculative quantum harmonics

---

Preamble

What follows is a working protocol, stitched together from cymatic tradition, Teslian resonance mythology, and the outer edges of quantum speculation. Treat it as a schematic for a thought-experiment made physical. The apparatus is real. The physics behind the *rift* itself remains unverified by any peer-reviewed source. Proceed with curiosity, ear protection, and no illusions about what mainstream science will endorse.

Stage One — Establishing the Carrier Frequency

Every rift protocol begins with a **base tone**, the spine of the entire acoustic architecture.

- **Preferred fundamental:** 432 Hz. Fringe resonance theorists claim it aligns with the "cosmic keynote" and the Schumann harmonics of Earth's ionospheric cavity.

- **Alternate fundamentals:** 111 Hz (Hypogeum resonance), 7.83 Hz (Schumann root, produced via subwoofer or infrasonic transducer).

- **Avoid:** 440 Hz standard tuning. Whether or not the "disruption" claim holds, it clashes harmonically with the ratios used later.

- **Generation:** a lab-grade sine oscillator (Keysight 33500B or similar), OR an analog source such as a hand-hammered Tibetan singing bowl tuned to A4=432. Analog sources introduce beneficial micro-detuning that pure digital tones lack.

Maintain the fundamental for at least twelve minutes before layering. This is called *seeding the field*.

Stage Two — Harmonic Lattice

Layer overtones on top of the carrier in specific ratios:

Interval	Ratio	Frequency (from 432 Hz)
Perfect fifth	3:2	648 Hz
Major third	5:4	540 Hz
Golden ratio	1.618:1	698.98 Hz
Solfeggio triad	—	396 / 528 / 741 Hz

The **golden-ratio overtone** is the crucial one; adherents claim it prevents the standing wave from closing on itself and forming a stable, "sealed" resonance instead of a permeable one.

Introduce **binaural beats** in the 4–7 Hz theta band by offsetting left/right channels. The listener's brain synchronizes; whether spacetime does the same is the open question.

Speaker geometry: four full-range drivers arranged in a regular tetrahedron, edges of exactly 2.0 meters. The listener/aperture sits at the centroid. This creates a 3D interference lattice with defined nodal points — the alleged "thin spots."

Stage Three — Amplification and Modulation

- Drive the array to **118–124 dB SPL** at the centroid. Hearing protection is non-negotiable; the rift will not care about your cochlea.

- Amplitude-modulate the entire signal with a **7.83 Hz infrasonic envelope** (Schumann carrier). Use a separate subwoofer capable of clean output below 20 Hz — a rotary subwoofer or tactile transducer works better than a ported box.

- Introduce **piezoelectric conversion elements**: quartz crystals (raw, not lab-grown) placed at each tetrahedron vertex. Acoustic pressure induces a small electric field across the crystal lattice. Fringe theory holds that this acoustoelectric coupling is what nudges the local vacuum into anisotropy.

- Optional: a **scalar-wave coil pair** (Caduceus winding, counter-wound) driven at the fundamental. Mainstream physics does not recognize scalar waves as distinct from standard EM, but the protocol includes them.

Stage Four — Environmental Preparation

The chamber matters as much as the sound.

- **Geometry:** dodecahedral or hemispherical room, ~4 m diameter. Sharp corners create parasitic reflections that scramble the lattice.

- **Surfaces:** inner walls sheathed in polished copper or beaten brass. These reflect acoustic energy back into the centroid and, per unproven claim, "hold" the electromagnetic component.

- **Mirrors:** six front-surface mirrors placed at the tetrahedron faces plus two axial. Cheap silvered mirrors introduce phase errors; use first-surface aluminum.

- **Timing:** attempt during a **solar minimum**, ideally within 48 hours of a new moon, and — if you take ley-line geography seriously — at a node intersection (Sedona, Glastonbury, Nazca, the Bosnian Pyramid, etc.).

- **Grounding:** copper stake driven at least 2.5 m into soil, bonded to the chamber shell. Prevents charge buildup on the operator.

- **Intent:** practitioners insist on focused visualization of the target dimensional signature. Physics offers no mechanism. Include it or don't; measurable output does not change.

Stage Five — Monitoring and Stabilization

Instrumentation you actually need:

- **Real-time spectrum analyzer** (Rohde & Schwarz FSW or free-tier equivalent) mapping the interference pattern. Watch for **null nodes** where destructive interference produces near-silence at expected antinode positions — the classic "thin point."

- **Magnetometer** (fluxgate, three-axis) logging at 1 kHz. Any anomalous DC offset correlated with amplitude peaks is the signature to chase.

- **High-speed camera** at 2000+ fps aimed at the centroid. Rift claimants report brief refractive shimmer — indistinguishable from heat haze without controlled conditions.

- **Second observer** outside the chamber, in radio contact, monitoring your vitals. Prolonged exposure to 120 dB + 7.83 Hz modulation produces nausea, vertigo, and vivid dissociation. Do not do this alone.

Stabilization, per protocol, involves *locking* the null node by cross-modulating the scalar coils with the golden-ratio overtone. Nothing in published physics predicts this will work. Fringe accounts describe a "pressure change" and "visual softening" of the centroid air; these are consistent with hyperventilation and infrasonic effects on the vestibular system.

What You Will Almost Certainly Observe

- Standing-wave pressure zones you can feel on exposed skin.

- Cymatic patterns forming on any loose sand, water, or dust in the room.

- Auditory hallucinations, phantom voices, sense of presence — all documented effects of infrasound around 19 Hz.

- Pareidolia in the shimmer captured by the camera.

- A splitting headache.

What Remains Unverified

- Any actual dimensional aperture.

- Any energy or mass transfer across said aperture.

- Any return trip.

No published, replicated experiment has produced a portal by acoustic means. The energies required to perturb space-

time meaningfully — if achievable at all — exceed audio output by roughly forty orders of magnitude. What the protocol *does* reliably produce is a strange, disorienting, aesthetically potent experience inside a copper-lined room full of sound.

Which, depending on why you're asking, may be exactly the point.

Log everything. Timestamp everything. If something genuinely anomalous occurs, the recordings are the only thing that will matter.

Government Experimentation with "Demon Summoning"

A survey of documented programs, declassified fragments, and the folklore that grew around them

---

The Honest Framing First

No declassified file from any government — CIA, DARPA, KGB, MI6, Mossad, PLA — references literal demon summoning as a research objective. What exists instead is a tangled braid of three things:

1. **Real occult interest by intelligence figures** (documented, mundane, weird).

2. **Real black-budget programs** that touched paranormal territory (remote viewing, psychotronics).

3. **Conspiracy lore** that fills the gap between #1, #2, and imagination.

Below, each strand — sorted by how much paper trail actually survives.

Documented: Occultism Inside the Intelligence Apparatus

Jack Parsons and the Babalon Working (1946)

Not a government program, but the operator was co-founder of JPL (Jet Propulsion Laboratory), which became NASA-adjacent. Parsons, a Thelemite disciple of Aleister Crowley, conducted a multi-week ritual in the Mojave with L. Ron Hubbard (then a Naval Intelligence officer) as "scribe." Stated goal: incarnate the Thelemic goddess Babalon into a human vessel. FBI file exists on Parsons — for security clearance reasons, not ritual ones. He died in 1952 in an explosion at his home lab, cause disputed.

The OSS and Aleister Crowley

Declassified OSS memos confirm Crowley advised on Nazi occult symbology and possibly on the Rudolf Hess flight to Scotland in 1941. Ian Fleming (then Naval Intelligence) reportedly proposed using Crowley to interrogate Hess. Approved on paper, never executed. No summoning involved — psychological warfare via occult *iconography*.

The Nazi Ahnenerbe (1935–1945)

The most extensively documented state-funded occult program in history. Himmler's SS research bureau funded expeditions to Tibet, Iceland, and Ukraine, hunting for the Aryan ur-race, the Holy Grail, and "vril" energy. Karl Maria Wiligut, Himmler's personal mystic, ran rituals at Wewelsburg Castle — the "Black Sun" hall in the north tower is real, still standing, still eerie. Post-war Allied intelligence catalogued it exhaustively; the files are in the U.S. National Archives (RG 242) and Bundesarchiv Koblenz. No evidence

any ritual produced an effect. Considerable evidence they *tried*.

Documented: Programs That Brushed the Territory

MKULTRA (1953–1973, CIA)

The Church Committee hearings (1975) and later FOIA releases confirm: LSD, hypnosis, sensory deprivation, electroshock, sexual coercion, and behavioral programming. Subproject 58 involved researching mushroom cults in Oaxaca — Gordon Wasson, a Wall Street banker, was funded (unwittingly) by MKULTRA to document Maria Sabina's velada ceremonies. That's the closest paper trail to state-funded occult contact, and it's ethnobotany, not conjuration.

Stargate / Grill Flame / Sun Streak (1978–1995, DIA/CIA)

The remote viewing program at SRI International under Puthoff and Targ, later at Fort Meade. Approximately 90,000 pages declassified via the CIA CREST database. Subjects (Ingo Swann, Joe McMoneagle, Pat Price) described distant locations with sometimes uncanny accuracy, sometimes total failure. Swann's session logs include his infamous claim of encountering "entities" on the far side of the Moon and on Jupiter (Enigma Files). Program terminated 1995 after an AIR external review found insufficient operational value.

Not summoning. Adjacent to it in the eyes of anyone reading the transcripts cold.

Soviet Psychotronics (1960s–1991)

Documented in translated GRU and KGB reports obtained post-collapse. Programs at the Popov Institute and

Novosibirsk investigated ESP, telekinesis, and "bioenergetic" transmission. General Aleksey Savin ran a unit as late as 2003 that reportedly attempted contact with non-corporeal intelligences. Russian-language sources exist; Western verification is thin.

Rumored: The Conspiracy Substrate

Here the paper trail thins to anecdote, and the anecdotes are famous.

Project Looking Glass

Claim: DARPA-funded device using rotating mercury in a superconducting well to bend spacetime and view probable futures. Source: whistleblower Dan Burisch (also known as Dan Crain), whose credentials do not survive scrutiny. Corroborating documents: none. Recurs in Bill Ryan's Project Camelot interviews.

The Montauk Project

Claim: continuation of the Philadelphia Experiment at Camp Hero, Long Island, 1971–1983. Time travel, dimensional portals, and — per Preston Nichols and Peter Moon's books — the summoning of a "Junior" beast-entity via a psychic amplifier chair. Primary evidence: witness testimony, decommissioned radar tower (real), and a very active online mythology. No physical documents.

CERN / LHC

Claim: the Large Hadron Collider's collision energies open microscopic portals, and the Shiva statue outside the campus is an operational ritual object rather than a diplomatic gift from India (which it verifiably is, presented in

2004). Recurring in conspiracy media since 2012. No physicist involved has ever corroborated. Collision energies remain forty-plus orders of magnitude below anything that could perturb the vacuum meaningfully.

DUMBs and the Dulce Base

Claim: joint-species underground facility in New Mexico where interdimensional entities were contracted with, then betrayed U.S. personnel in the "Dulce Wars" of 1979. Sole primary source: Phil Schneider (deceased 1996, cause disputed) and Thomas Castello (existence unverified). No declassified document references Dulce.

The Franklin/Bohemian Grove Ritual Layer

The Cremation of Care ceremony at Bohemian Grove is real, filmed by Alex Jones in 2000, and is theatrical — a mock ritual conducted by the members' club since 1881. Whether elite attendees engage in genuine occult practice off-camera is unproven and, per most historians of the club, unlikely. It looks bad on film regardless.

Patterns Worth Noting

- Every era's intelligence service has flirted with the paranormal when conventional tools failed or when adversaries seemed to be doing so first. The Cold War produced most of the substance; the War on Terror produced very little of it.

- The gap between *what agencies fund* and *what they achieve* is enormous. MKULTRA destroyed lives and produced no reliable mind control. Stargate produced strong anecdotes and no repeatable phenomenon. Ahnenerbe produced skulls and folklore.

- The most persistent "summoning" allegations attach to sites and figures where genuine occult interest existed (Parsons, Himmler) or where dramatic infrastructure invites projection (CERN, Montauk radar).

- Absence of documentation is not proof of absence — but forty years of aggressive FOIA activity by Jacobsen, Kaye, Greenewald (Black Vault) and others has surfaced no ritual-summoning program. What surfaces instead: drug experiments, surveillance overreach, ugly medical ethics, and remote viewing.

What Would Actual Evidence Look Like?

A real program would leave: budget line items in appropriations records, contractor invoices (Booz Allen, SAIC, Lockheed), FOIA-visible personnel rosters with unusual specialties, safety incident reports, and — if effective — corroborating physical artifacts or repeatable phenomena. None of the "demon summoning" claims produce any of these. The remote viewing archive produces all of them, which is why it's taken seriously as *history* even by skeptics who reject its results.

The honest summary: governments have funded the *edges* of the occult with real money and serious operators. Whether anything answered back is a question that lives entirely outside the archive, where verification cannot follow.

Maria Orsic

The medium who may not have existed, and the myth that refuses to die

---

The Problem Before the Biography

Maria Orsic is cited in dozens of books, thousands of websites, and a small industry of documentaries as the psychic centerpiece of the Vril Society — a group of long-haired mediums who allegedly channeled UFO blueprints from Aldebaran for the Third Reich. She has a birth date, a photograph, a disappearance narrative, and passionate defenders.

She has, however, no verifiable primary documentation. No birth certificate has surfaced. No Vienna or Zagreb parish record. No SS file, no Gestapo file, no Allied intelligence catalog entry. The Ahnenerbe archives — extensively catalogued in the U.S. National Archives RG 242 and the Bundesarchiv Koblenz — do not mention her. The photograph most commonly attributed to her circulates without provenance and may depict an unrelated woman.

What follows is therefore two documents braided together: the *legend as told*, and the *scholarship on where the legend came from*. Both matter. Only one is history.

The Legend As Told

Origins

- **Born:** 31 October 1895, either Vienna or Zagreb (sources disagree).

- **Father:** Croatian, name variously given as Tomislav Orsitsch or similar Germanized spellings.

- **Mother:** Viennese, said to be of aristocratic descent.

- **Youth:** raised bilingual, drawn to spiritualism after WWI, moved in Munich occult circles by the mid-1920s.

She is described in the mythology as tall, slender, extraordinarily long ash-blonde hair worn loose to the waist — the hair itself allegedly functioning as an "antenna" for telepathic reception. Photographs (of contested provenance) show a woman with a serene, oval face, high forehead, deep-set eyes, and a mouth held in something between composure and knowing amusement.

The Vril-Gesellschaft

Per the legend, Orsic founded or co-founded the **Vrilerinnen** — an inner circle of female mediums including Sigrun, Traute, Gudrun, and Heike. The group met in a Munich apartment at Zimmerstrasse, later in Berchtesgaden. Their stated purpose:

- Channel technical schematics from a civilization in the Aldebaran star system (roughly 65 light-years distant, in the constellation Taurus).

- Translate those schematics into engineering plans for anti-gravity craft — the *Jenseitsflugmaschine* ("Otherworld Flight Machine") and the later *Vril-1 Jäger*.

- Provide occult counsel to Thule Society members, who allegedly overlapped with early Nazi leadership.

The channelings were said to be received in a proto-Sumerian or "Templar" script, transcribed by Sigrun and decoded by engineers including W.O. Schumann (a real person — the electrical engineer who described the Schumann resonances, and who did work under the Third Reich).

The Alleged Craft

Vril literature attributes to Orsic's circle the design lineage of:

- **Vril-1 through Vril-7:** disc-shaped craft with mercury-vortex or *Tachyonator* drives.

- **Haunebu series:** larger platforms, allegedly weaponized.

- **Andromeda-Gerät:** a 139-meter cigar-shaped mothership meant to carry multiple Vril craft.

None of these designs appear in Speer's armaments ministry records, Luftwaffe procurement files, or the Kammler special-projects paperwork that Allied intelligence combed exhaustively after 1945. The "Schriever-Habermohl" disc program at Prague is documented but unrelated to Orsic.

The Disappearance

The most cinematic beat: in March 1945, with Berlin collapsing, Orsic allegedly sent a final letter to Vril members ending with the phrase "**Niemand bleibt hier**" — *No one

is staying here.* The Vrilerinnen then vanished. Legend variously claims they:

- Escaped in an Andromeda craft to Aldebaran.

- Fled to a base in Antarctica (Neuschwabenland / Base 211).

- Retreated to a South American colony.

- Simply died in the Soviet advance and were absorbed into the mass graves of the Reich Chancellery district.

No death record, no war-crimes tribunal appearance, no Soviet interrogation file names her.

The Scholarship On Where the Legend Came From

The Orsic mythology in its current form does not predate 1990s German fringe publishing. The seminal texts are:

- **Jan Udo Holey** (writing as *Jan van Helsing*), *Geheimgesellschaften und ihre Macht im 20. Jahrhundert* (1993). Introduces Vril in its now-canonical form, cites no primary sources, was later banned in Germany for antisemitic content.

- **Norbert Jürgen-Ratthofer & Ralf Ettl**, *Das Vril-Projekt* (mid-1990s VHS documentaries and pamphlets). The primary vector for the Aldebaran-channeling narrative and the "Vril craft" schematics, which are traceable to their own illustrators.

- **Wilhelm Landig's** *Thule Trilogy* (1971–1980). Fiction, but esoteric-right circles later read it as veiled history. Landig, an Austrian ex-SS officer, invented much of the "black sun" and polar-base iconography that Orsic-lore later borrowed.

Historians of the Third Reich occult — **Nicholas Goodrick-Clarke** (*The Occult Roots of Nazism*, 1985; *Black Sun*, 2002) and **Peter Levenda** (*Unholy Alliance*, 1995) — treat Orsic as a **post-war invention**, most likely composited from:

1. **Real Munich medium circles of the 1920s** (documented, mundane, several women named).

2. **The genuine Thule-Gesellschaft** (documented political-occult club, dissolved by 1925 but ideologically influential).

3. **Willy Ley's 1947 essay "Pseudoscience in Naziland"** — the first English-language reference to a "Vril society," which Ley describes as a small Berlin group of Bulwer-Lytton enthusiasts practicing muscle-relaxation. Ley never mentions Orsic. Later authors inflated Ley's brief paragraph into a shadow government.

4. **Bulwer-Lytton's 1871 novel *Vril: The Power of the Coming Race*** — the fictional source of the entire "vril" concept.

The Vril name is therefore documentably fictional in origin (1871), the "Vril Society" is documentably marginal in reality (per Ley, 1947), and the Orsic character is documentably a post-1990 elaboration.

Why the Myth Persists

Several genuine ingredients keep it plausible-feeling:

- **The Nazis did fund occult research** (Ahnenerbe, Wiligut, Wewelsburg — all real).

- **The Nazis did pursue exotic aviation** (Horten flying wings, Sänger's antipodal bomber, the Prague disc program).

- **Post-war UFO waves began within two years of the Reich's fall**, inviting the "escaped Nazi tech" hypothesis.

- **Photographs exist** — of *someone* — labeled as Orsic in circulation, giving the myth a face.

- **The story is aesthetically potent**: a woman with hair to her waist, transcribing star-language in a Munich attic while the war burns outside. It reads like a novel because it essentially is one.

The mythology has also been laundered through the New Age circuit (Aldebaran contactees still cite her), the ancient-astronaut TV ecosystem (History Channel segments treat her as historical), and video games (*Wolfenstein*, *Iron Sky*) that lifted the iconography wholesale.

What a Historian Would Say If Cornered

Something like: *A real person named Maria Orsitsch may or may not have existed in interwar Munich. If she did, she was probably a minor medium in a small esoteric circle, one of many in Weimar Germany. Everything else attributed to her — the Aldebaran channelings, the UFO schematics, the Vrilerinnen, the escape narrative — is retrofit, assembled after 1990 from older fictional and pseudohistorical materials, and cannot be traced to any wartime document.*

That is the ceiling of what the archive supports. Anything above it belongs to folklore, which has its own value, but is not the same as history.

For Further Reading (with the caveats each requires)

- Nicholas Goodrick-Clarke — *Black Sun* (Academic, skeptical, essential.)

- Peter Levenda — *Unholy Alliance* (Journalistic, occult-sympathetic but sourced.)

- Willy Ley — "Pseudoscience in Naziland," *Astounding Science Fiction*, May 1947 (Primary-adjacent, short, foundational.)

- Joscelyn Godwin — *Arktos: The Polar Myth* (Traces the polar-base and hollow-earth strands.)

- **Avoid as history, useful as folklore:** anything by Jan van Helsing, Ratthofer/Ettl, or their English translators.

The woman on the postcards — hair like a curtain, gaze fixed on something past the camera — remains one of the most photogenic ghosts of the twentieth century, which is perhaps the only claim about her that requires no footnote.

An Analysis of Psychic Mediums

Between the séance table and the fMRI scanner

What a "Medium" Actually Claims to Do

The term collapses several distinct practices that deserve separation:

- **Mental mediumship** — receiving impressions (images, words, feelings) attributed to discarnate entities, delivered in the medium's own voice.

- **Trance mediumship** — the medium's ordinary consciousness allegedly withdraws; a "control" or spirit speaks through them. Voice, accent, and mannerism shift.

- **Physical mediumship** — the rarer and historically fraud-heavy branch. Ectoplasm, materializations, table-tipping, direct-voice phenomena, apports. Peak era 1880–1930, decline steep after infrared photography.

- **Channeling** — twentieth-century rebranding, usually of ascended masters, extraterrestrials, or collective conscious-

nesses rather than deceased individuals. J.Z. Knight's Ramtha, Jane Roberts' Seth, Esther Hicks' Abraham.

- **Evidential mediumship** — the current professional standard, marketed as producing specific, verifiable details about the sitter's deceased. Studied under laboratory conditions by the Windbridge Research Center and the University of Arizona's VERITAS program.

Each category has a different failure mode, a different fraud history, and a different clinical profile among practitioners.

The Clinical Portrait

Peer-reviewed psychiatric literature on mediums — small sample sizes, but consistent — surfaces a recognizable cluster of traits.

Dissociative capacity. Mediums score significantly higher on the Dissociative Experiences Scale (DES) than matched controls. Julio Peres's 2012 study of Brazilian Spiritist mediums (Universidade Federal de São Paulo) found DES scores comparable to dissociative-disorder populations, but *without* the associated functional impairment. Meaning: they dissociate freely and don't fall apart. The dissociation is ego-syntonic and culturally scaffolded.

Absorption. The Tellegen Absorption Scale — measuring the capacity for deep imaginative involvement — runs high across mediums, hypnotic virtuosos, and creative-arts populations alike. Not pathological. Predictive of susceptibility to suggestion and to compelling internal imagery.

Boundary thinness. Ernest Hartmann's construct — permeable psychological boundaries between self and other, waking and dream, thought and feeling. Overrepresented in artists, mystics, and mediums. Also overrepresented in bipolar spectrum and schizotypal populations, though the correlation is not deterministic.

Fantasy proneness. Wilson and Barber's construct: ~4% of the general population report vivid, sustained fantasy lives with hallucinatory clarity. Mediums cluster heavily in this small group.

What's *not* consistently found: frank psychosis, thought disorder, or the functional collapse that would earn a schizophrenia or DID diagnosis. Peres's cohort held jobs, maintained relationships, and reported *better* mental health outcomes than population norms. Cultural sanction appears to protect them.

A minority — particularly in physical mediumship's fraud-heavy history — presents with clear **narcissistic** or **factitious** features. The Fox sisters (1848, foundational to modern Spiritualism) confessed in 1888 that the rappings were toe-cracking. Margery Crandon, Eusapia Palladino, and Colin Evans were all caught in staged phenomena. This is documented, not speculative.

The Neuroscience

Functional imaging of mediums during purported channeling — most notably Peres et al.'s 2012 SPECT study — shows **decreased activity** in the left hippocampus, left temporal lobe, precentral gyrus, culmen, and right superior tem-

poral gyrus during trance writing, compared to the medium's own baseline writing state. This is unusual: one would expect *increased* activity in language and motor regions during complex output.

Two readings, both interesting:

1. The medium is performing complex behavior with *less* neural engagement than baseline — consistent with automatism, procedural offloading, or genuine altered state.

2. The measured decreases occur in regions associated with self-referential processing and autobiographical retrieval — consistent with the *phenomenological* claim that "it isn't me speaking" while remaining agnostic on the source.

Andrew Newberg's earlier SPECT work on contemplative states showed parietal-lobe deactivation correlating with reported loss of self-boundary. Same neural neighborhood.

What the neuroscience does not show: any signal that could not be produced by the medium's own brain. No transmission, no reception, no external source detectable. The scans map the *state*, not its ontology.

The Statistical Question

The core empirical claim of evidential mediumship — that specific, verifiable information is obtained about the deceased beyond chance — has been tested under increasingly tight protocols.

Gary Schwartz's early Arizona studies (1999–2003) reported strong hit rates but were criticized (Ray Hyman, James Randi) for insufficient blinding: sitters gave feedback during readings, mediums could observe reactions.

Julie Beischel's Windbridge protocol tightened this considerably: quintuple-blind design, sitters and mediums never meet, discarnate is named only, readings scored by proxy sitters against decoy readings. Reported effect sizes small but non-zero — mediums outperformed chance in specific-detail tasks, though not consistently and not by margins that survive skeptical meta-analysis.

Failed replications are numerous. Chris French's team at Goldsmiths ran comparable protocols with null results. Richard Wiseman's work consistently finds no effect once cold-reading vectors are controlled.

The **effect size**, if real, is small enough that it lives in the same statistical gray zone as the ganzfeld ESP experiments — meta-analyses can be assembled to argue either direction depending on inclusion criteria. Bem's 2011 precognition paper produced the same crisis in a different subfield: publication bias, questionable research practices, and small effects that dissolve under preregistration.

The Stargate program's own AIR review (1995) concluded remote viewing produced statistically significant but operationally useless results — a fair verdict for the broader psi field.

How the Effect Is Produced Without Psi

Skeptical literature has catalogued the mechanisms exhaustively. They are not accusations of conscious fraud so much as an inventory of how the human mind produces the *experience* of a genuine reading.

Cold reading. Structured guessing calibrated to visible cues — clothing, posture, jewelry (wedding rings, memorial pieces), age, ethnicity, region of accent, micro-expressions. Ian Rowland's *The Full Facts Book of Cold Reading* is the definitive technical manual.

Warm reading. Statements that apply to nearly everyone but feel specific — the Barnum effect. "You have a scar on your knee." "There was a family member who felt overlooked." Verified in Bertram Forer's 1949 study; effect size huge and stable across seventy years of replication.

Hot reading. Prior research. Modern practitioners have social media, obituaries, and public records; Peter Popoff's 1986 exposure by Randi (wife feeding him data via earpiece) is the archetype.

Rainbow ruse. Encompassing contradictions — "you're outgoing but also need solitude" — that guarantee a hit regardless of the sitter's actual profile.

Confirmation bias. Sitters recall hits, forget misses. Recorded readings analyzed post hoc typically produce hit rates around 20–30%, not the 80% the sitter reports experiencing.

Subjective validation. The sitter, motivated to make meaning, actively works to fit vague statements to their life. This is the engine, not a side effect.

Add these together and evidential mediumship is well-explained without invoking survival of consciousness. Whether they *fully* explain it in every case is the residual question that keeps the field alive.

The Historical Arc

1848 — Hydesville, New York. Fox sisters and the rappings. Modern Spiritualism born; peaks by 1870 at an estimated eight million adherents in the U.S. and U.K.

1882 — Society for Psychical Research founded. Sidgwick, Myers, Gurney. Serious academic investigation begins. William James joins in 1884. Notable because it produced both the earliest careful documentation *and* the earliest careful exposures.

1888 — Fox sisters' confession. Damaging but not fatal to the movement.

1918–1925 — Post-WWI grief boom. Doyle vs. Houdini publicly. Massive spike in mediumship as bereaved families seek contact with war dead. Same pattern repeats after the 1918 flu pandemic.

1930s–1940s — Physical mediumship discredited. Infrared photography, better controls, and repeated exposures collapse the ectoplasm branch.

1960s–1970s — Channeling replaces classical mediumship. Seth (Roberts, 1963), *A Course in Miracles* (Schucman, 1965–1972), Ramtha (Knight, 1977). Content shifts from dead relatives to cosmic teachers.

1990s–2000s — Television mediumship. John Edward, James Van Praagh, Sylvia Browne. Cold reading goes mainstream; skeptical response (Randi, Nickell) goes mainstream in parallel.

2010s–present — Digital mediumship. Remote readings via Zoom, Instagram DMs, TikTok "dead loved one"

targeted messaging. Lower barrier to entry, higher volume, similar techniques.

The Cultural Function

Even bracketing the ontological question entirely, mediumship persists because it does work — not necessarily the work advertised. Bereavement research (Beischel, Mosher, Boccuzzi 2014–2015) documents that sitters report reduced grief symptoms after readings, often durably. The mechanism could be:

- Genuine contact with the deceased (unverifiable).

- Structured permission to say goodbye, deliver messages, and grieve aloud with witness — a function religions once monopolized and secular therapy has partially replaced.

- Narrative closure: the reading imposes shape on loss.

- Placebo, understood non-dismissively as real symptom relief via meaning-making.

The last three are sufficient to explain the therapeutic effect. The first is not required, and cannot be tested for from the outside of the sitter's experience.

The Residue

An honest analysis lands here: mediums are, in the majority, neither frauds nor psychics. They are individuals with unusual dissociative and imaginative capacities, working within a cultural tradition that trains and rewards the production of a specific altered state. The state is real. The output of the state — the readings — is well-explained by ordinary cognitive mechanisms without requiring survival of consciousness

or telepathic signal. A small residual effect in the strongest laboratory studies remains disputed and, if real, is too weak for operational use.

The interesting question is no longer *is it real* — the frame has been rehearsed to exhaustion on both sides — but *why does the human nervous system produce this state so reliably, and why does it soothe the bereaved so effectively.* Those are answerable questions, and the answers are slowly arriving from clinical psychology and grief research rather than parapsychology.

The séance table hasn't lost its candles. The lab lights are just brighter now.

Ego-Based Behavior in Ghost Hunting Circles

Executive Summary

Ghost hunting communities exhibit ego-driven dysfunction at a rate and intensity that structurally prevents the field from maturing into anything resembling a discipline. The behavior is not incidental — it is the load-bearing feature of how status, revenue, and social capital are distributed in the space. This report catalogs the observable patterns, the mechanisms that reinforce them, and the downstream effects on any genuine inquiry.

---

1. Territorial Gatekeeping

Location hoarding. Prominent crews cultivate exclusive or preferential access to marquee locations — Waverly Hills, Pennhurst, Trans-Allegheny Lunatic Asylum, Eastern State Penitentiary, the Stanley Hotel. Access is traded on relationships with property owners, not on investigative merit. Newer investigators requesting access are frequently told the location is "booked" or steered toward paid public events run

by the incumbents. The gatekeeping serves no research function; it protects revenue streams and preserves the incumbent's ability to claim signature findings at signature sites.

"Discovery" claims. Individual investigators publicly brand specific phenomena as their finds — a particular "shadow figure" at a location, a named entity, a recurring EVP class. Subsequent investigators who report similar or contradictory phenomena are accused of copying, riding coattails, or fabricating. This mirrors the cryptozoology pattern where a claimant treats a species report as intellectual property.

Equipment fetishization. Certain devices — the SLS camera, the Estes Method setup, various spirit boxes, Bill Chappell's Portal and Wonder Box — become status markers. Ownership signals seriousness. Crews without them are dismissed as amateurs regardless of methodological quality. The devices themselves have not been validated by any independent testing; their authority is entirely social.

---

2. Personality Cult Formation

The charismatic frontman model. Zak Bagans, Nick Groff (post-split), Steve Dischiavi, various Amy Bruni-Adam Berry configurations, and the countless YouTube-tier imitators all run on the same template: one telegenic host whose reactions and pronouncements are the product. The "team" exists to feed the frontman's screen time. Investigations are structured around his emotional arc, not the data.

Fan-tier loyalty structures. Followers of major personalities behave measurably like parasocial fandoms — Discord servers, subreddits, and comment sections police criticism of

the host, dogpile skeptics, and treat methodological critique as personal attack. This is functionally identical to K-pop stan behavior or wrestling fandom dynamics, just applied to ostensible research.

Origin mythology. Prominent investigators cultivate personal backstories — childhood encounters, near-death experiences, family lineages of sensitivity, traumatic hauntings that "started" their journey. These stories are repeated across appearances with escalating detail. They function as sanctification, positioning the investigator as chosen rather than merely interested.

---

3. Skeptic Suppression Patterns

Framing critique as attack. Any methodological challenge — pointing out uncontrolled variables, hot reading, confirmation bias, cherry-picked footage — is reframed as an attack on the investigator's character, on the deceased whose stories are being told, or on "believers" generally. This collapses the epistemic question into a social one where the critic is the aggressor.

"You had to be there" epistemology. When pressed on evidence quality, defenders retreat to the claim that the phenomenon cannot be captured or communicated to those not present. This is unfalsifiable by design and functions to exempt the field from ordinary evidentiary standards while preserving the investigator's authority as the only reliable witness.

Kenny Biddle treatment. Investigators like Kenny Biddle, who methodically debunks specific claims (misidentified reflections, pareidolia, staging), are treated as villains in the

community's internal narrative. His work is technically respected by a small skeptical minority and actively suppressed by the mainstream — creators avoid engaging his critiques directly, respond only through subtweets, or accuse him of jealousy and irrelevance.

Coordination against defectors. When an investigator publicly acknowledges a debunk or expresses methodological doubt, they are typically ostracized. Careers built on paranormal media do not survive apostasy; the incentive to stay in the tent is enormous even when the person privately doubts the work.

---

4. Feud Economy

Rivalry as content. Beefs between crews generate more engagement than investigations do. Zak Bagans vs. Nick Groff, various GAC vs. TAPS-descendant tensions, YouTube-tier feuds between mid-list creators — these dominate community discussion cycles. Each faction accuses the other of faking evidence, stealing techniques, or exploiting locations, and each accusation is likely partially true.

Accusation as marketing. Publicly accusing a rival of fakery generates views and consolidates loyalist support. It is a lower-cost, higher-return activity than producing new investigative content. The result is a community where investigators spend more airtime on each other than on the phenomena.

Splinter dynamics. Crews fragment along ego lines with predictable regularity. A co-host feels underused, splits off, forms a new venture, and takes half the audience. The

new venture positions itself against the old one. Within eighteen months, the new venture splinters again. Ghost Adventures, Ghost Hunters, and their descendants demonstrate this cycle across two decades.

---

5. Medium Hierarchies

Sensitivity as trump card. In mixed crews containing mediums and technical investigators, the medium's pronouncements override instrumentation. If the EMF meter is silent but the medium senses a presence, the presence is real and the meter is malfunctioning. If the meter spikes but the medium senses nothing, it was interference. The medium's subjective report is unfalsifiable and always wins.

Medium credentialing wars. Mediums stratify themselves by claimed ability tier — "sensitive" vs. "psychic" vs. "medium" vs. "trance medium" vs. "physical medium." These distinctions have no external validation. They function purely as intra-community status hierarchies, with senior mediums gatekeeping who is "really" gifted and who is faking.

Certification mills. Various organizations — the Forever Family Foundation, Windbridge, various UK Spiritualist churches — offer medium "certifications" with widely varying rigor. Investigators wave these credentials as authority in disputes, and the credentials function socially even when the underlying testing is thin or unreproducible.

---

6. Financial Motive Concealment

"I do this for the truth." Public framing consistently emphasizes non-commercial motivation — passion, family

history, spiritual calling. Actual revenue from television deals, paid overnight investigations at owned or affiliated locations, merchandise, ticketed live events, Patreon tiers, and sponsored equipment is deemphasized or hidden.

Location owner conflicts. Many high-profile investigators have financial relationships with the locations they investigate — either as investors, as recurring paid event hosts, or as media partners. Findings at these locations skew positive with a frequency that far exceeds base rates elsewhere. The conflict is rarely disclosed.

Manufactured scarcity. Access to investigations, to the investigator's presence, to certain "haunted" objects and locations is deliberately restricted to raise ticket prices and merchandise value. This is standard entertainment economics dressed as research access.

---

7. Structural Reinforcement

The behaviors above are not moral failings of individuals — they are the equilibrium the field selects for. A hypothetical investigator who behaved with methodological rigor, refused to hoard access, credited rivals, engaged skeptics constructively, and disclosed financial motives would be economically outcompeted within a single funding cycle. The audience does not reward that profile. Platforms do not surface it. Property owners do not partner with it.

The result is a domain where ego is not a bug but the operating substrate. Anyone in the space who is not maximally ego-driven is a temporary anomaly on their way out. This dynamic mirrors what occurs in UFO abduction research com-

munities, cryptozoology, and New Age adjacent circles, where the same structural forces — audience economics, unfalsifiable subject matter, absence of institutional gatekeeping — produce isomorphic ego-based dysfunction.

The field cannot self-correct because the actors capable of correcting it have been selected out. Any genuine research that occurs happens either in the small, unglamorous academic remnants (Rhine, Koestler, IONS) or in private investigations that never touch the public-facing ecosystem. Everything visible is spectacle.